	Superior Court of California,				
	Sacramento				
1	06/02/2023 UBALDI & McPHERSON LLP zeyaadn				
2	Dennis P. McPherson, Esq., SBN 128879				
	Jamie A. Pearson, Esq., SBN 221261 555 University Avenue, Suite 140 34-2021-00298783-CU-NI				
3	Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 265-4555				
4	Facsimile: (916) 265-4568				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and				
6	DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO				
7					
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO				
11	DIGNITY HEALTH, dba MERCY No. 34-2021-00298783				
12	GENERAL HOSPITAL, a California Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation, <u>FIRST AMENDED</u> COMPLAINT FOR				
13	DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF HEALTHCARE [Civil Code 3427, et				
14	SACRAMENTO, a California Nonprofit Corporation, seq.]; REQUEST FOR CIVIL DAMAGES AND PROVISIONAL REMEDIES				
15	Plaintiffs,				
16	VS.				
17	DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, and DOES				
18	1 through 10, Date Action Filed: 4/15/21				
19	Defendants. Trial Date: 11/13/23				
20	COMES NOW Plaintiffs DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL				
21	and DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO,				
22	a California Nonprofit Corporation, and allege as a cause of action as follows:				
23	1. At all times herein mentioned DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL				
24	HOSPITAL is a California Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation, at all times in good				
1 25	standing, operating as a hospital and licensed healthcare facility at 4001 J Street,				
26	Sacramento, California;				
	- 1-				

First Amended Complaint; Action No. 32-2021-00298783

McPherson LLP

EIVEL

1111-2200

CIV

Ubaldi &

-

At all times herein mentioned DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba
 METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO, a California Nonprofit Corporation, runs
 and operates Bruceville Terrace, a fully accredited and licensed Skilled Nursing Facility
 in the City and County of Sacramento.

3. At all times herein mentioned the acts and injuries complained of herein
occurred in the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California;

7 4. DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and DIGNITY
8 COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO are aggrieved
9 parties within the definition of aggrieved party pursuant to Civil Code 3427(a)(3) and
10 3427(d), and therefore have the requisite standing to maintain and prosecute this action;

5. 11 At all times mentioned herein, defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, and 12 DOES 1 through 10, are individual persons and have been and remain an inpatient at 13 MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL in Sacramento. Defendants, and each of them, have and 14 continue to remain medically and legally eligible to be discharged from MERCY 15 GENERAL HOSPITAL. Defendants, and each of them, unreasonably and unlawfully 16 refuse discharge from MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL. Due to laws in place protecting 17 patients and requiring safe discharge, MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL cannot discharge 18 defendants unless there is safe, accepting placement.

19 6. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants TERRA KHAN and PETRA 20 COFFIN were individual persons, the adult daughters of Defendant DAPHNE 21 MUEHLDORF, and were involved in decision making process for their mother while 22 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF was a patient at Plaintiffs' facilities. Defendant 23 CAROL COSTA SMITH was an individual person who held themselves out to the general 24 public as a "patient care advocate". Defendant CAROL COSTA SMITH is employed by, and is an officer, managing agent, and/or principal of Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS. Based on information 26

Ubaldi & McPherson 25 LLP

- 2-

1 and belief, Defendant CAROL COSTA SMITH is the President, Chief Executive Officer, 2 and Founder of Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 3 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, and is solely responsible for the day-to-day operations of 4 Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE 5 SOLUTIONS. Since Defendant CAROL COSTA SMITH was an officer, managing agent, 6 and/or principal of Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 7 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT 8 SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS had advance knowledge of the unfitness of 9 Defendant CAROL COSTA SMITH as an employee, and authorized and/or ratified all of 10 Defendant CAROL COSTA SMITH's wrongful conduct. Defendant CAROL COSTA 11 SMITH is not a certified nursing assistant, medical assistant, licensed vocational nurse, 12 nurse, licensed clinical social worker, or physician. Defendants DAPHNE 13 MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN, engaged the services of 14 Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT 15 SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS in approximately March of 2021 to act on their 16 behalf as "patient care advocates". Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT 17 FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS unreasonably and 18 unlawfully advised Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA 19 COFFIN to ignore and refuse all safe discharge options offered to Defendant DAPHNE 20 MUEHLENDORF by DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and 21 DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO, a 22 California Nonprofit Corporation.

24 Ubaldi & McPherson 25 LLP 26

23

7. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS have been providing services to seniors and disabled persons ("clients") since approximately 2005. Said Defendants are paid by clients to determine if Medi-Cal could offer assistance, then Defendants qualify the clients

- 3-

for various programs within Medi-Cal. Many of the clients who have retained said 1 2 Defendants' services have been individuals hospitalized in general acute care hospitals, 3 like Mercy General Hospital. Since Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS have worked with 4 5 clients hospitalized in general acute care hospitals, Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH 6 and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS 7 have known the following since approximately 2005: (1) general acute care hospitals 8 provide inpatient services to members of the public who are critically ill; (2) Medi-Cal 9 stops paying for general acute care hospital services once a patient is deemed eligible 10 for discharge from a general acute care hospital; and (3) physicians determine when a 11 patient is eligible for discharge from a general acute care hospital. Because of the 12 knowledge Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. 13 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS gained through working with clients 14 hospitalized in general acute care hospitals, said Defendants understood the magnitude 15 of the COVID-19 global pandemic and the strain the pandemic placed on general acute 16 care hospitals like Mercy General Hospital. Because of their knowledge of how general 17 acute care hospitals operated, said Defendants knew in March of 2021 of the bed 18 shortages multiple general acute care hospitals in the Sacramento area were facing.

19 8. CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT 20 SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS's scope of work for client Defendant DAPHNE 21 MUEHLENDORF was to complete the Medi-Cal application on her behalf and work 22 towards getting it approved, advocate on behalf of Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF to both Plaintiffs DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and DIGNITY 23 24 COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO, a California 25 Nonprofit Corporation, as well as to Medi-Cal, and work towards getting Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF qualified for specific Medi-Cal programs, including but not 26

- 4-

limited to the Assisted Living Waiver Program ("ALWP"). Through the ALWP, Medi-Cal
 will pay for the care provided to the patient at an assisted living facility. In the Spring of
 2021, the wait list for the ALWP was 2.5 years; however, a patient could bypass the wait
 list and be placed in an assisted living facility in a shorter time frame if the patient was in
 a skilled nursing facility or in a general acute care hospital and was Medi-Cal approved.

9. 6 Due to high demands for patient beds for patients requiring acute hospital 7 care, and due to defendant not requiring inpatient care but refusing discharge, defendant 8 was transferred to plaintiff DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL 9 OF SACRAMENTO at Bruceville Terrace, but for all intents and purposes, defendant 10 remains an undischarged patient at MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and the financial 11 burden for defendant's transfer to Bruceville Terrace rests with MERCY GENERAL 12 HOSPITAL. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. 13 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS never physically visited Defendant 14 DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF at either Mercy General Hospital or Methodist Hospital's 15 facility Bruceville Terrace.

16 10. As part of the qualification process for the ALWP, an ALWP nurse assessed 17 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF in order to determine the level of care required by 18 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF. Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's 19 physician was also required to fill out an assessment form as part of the ALWP 20 application process. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR 21 SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS assisted in gualifying 22 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF for the ALWP. Although medical records of the 23 findings of the ALWP nursing assessment were generated, neither Defendant CAROL 24 COSTA SMITH or any other individual at Defendant THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. 25 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS reviewed the ALWP nursing 26 assessment medical records. Because said Defendants chose not to review the contents

- 5-

First Amended Complaint; Action No. 32-2021-00298783

of the ALWP nursing assessment medical records, said Defendants never knew what
 level of care Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF was assessed to need based on the
 ALP nursing assessment.

4 11. At all times herein mentioned, defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, 5 TERRA KHAN, PETRA COFFIN, CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR 6 SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS were provided multiple 7 safe placement options for Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's discharge from 8 MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL, all of which were safe, lawful, appropriate, and accepting 9 of defendant. Plaintiff also offered to pay for up to 90 days placement in a board and 10 care facility for Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF; a facility that is consistent with 11 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's care needs. Notwithstanding, defendants 12 DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, PETRA COFFIN, CAROL COSTA SMITH 13 and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS 14 have refused all placement options for Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, refused 15 to allow Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF to be discharged, and have unreasonably 16 delayed placement and refuse all efforts at discharge provided by MERCY GENERAL 17 HOSPITAL, including refusal to be discharged out of Bruceville Terrace. Defendants 18 CAROL COSTA SMITH AND THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 19 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS recklessly, willfully, and with a conscious disregard for the 20 safety of others, advised Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and 21 PETRA COFFIN to refuse Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's discharge and refuse 22 Plaintiff's offer the 90-days' placement in a board and care facility. Said Defendants' 23 advisement was carried out with a willful and conscious disregard for the rights of 24 Plaintiffs and the safety of Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF and the community at 25 large.

McPherson LLP

26

Ubaldi &

12. At all times herein mentioned, defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF has

- 6-

legal capacity and has mental faculties to make her own decisions and as such, all acts
 described of herein were done either intentionally, or recklessly with conscious disregard
 for the consequences of her actions.

13. In addition to defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN,
PETRA COFFIN, CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba
LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS's refusal to accept safe and lawful
placement of Defendant DAPHNE MUEHELDORF and allow for her to be discharged,
defendants unreasonably refuse to be interviewed by prospective placement facilities,
and refuse to cooperate with the discharge planning team at the hospital to place
defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF.

- 7-

Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC.

12 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS knew a physician had deemed 13 Defendant DAPHEN MUEHLENDORF eligible for discharge from Mercy General Hospital 14 on March 15, 2021. Despite never physically seeing Defendant DAPHNE 15 MUEHLENDORF during her hospitalization at Mercy General Hospital or Bruceville 16 Terrace, despite never reviewing a single Physical Therapy note regarding Defendant 17 DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's level of functioning, despite being told by Defendants 18 TERRA KHAN and PETRA COFFIN that Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF had met 19 all Physical Therapy progress goals, and despite never speaking to a single physical 20 therapist at either Mercy General Hospital or Bruceville Terrace, Defendants CAROL 21 COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 22 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS recklessly, willfully, and with a conscious disregard for the 23 safety of others, advised Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and 24 PETRA COFFIN that the professional judgment of the Physical Therapy team was wrong 25 and its assessment of Defendant DAPHEN MUEHLENDORF should be ignored by 26 Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN.

Ubaldi & McPherson LLP 11

14

Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT
SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS had no knowledge of what Defendant DAPHNE
MUEHLENDORF's Physical Therapy progress goals were, and yet said Defendants
recklessly, willfully, and with a conscious disregard for the safety of others, advised
Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN to lie to
Mercy General Hospital staff by stating Defendant DAPHNE MUELENDORF was no
better after rehabilitation than she was when she first entered the Hospital.

8 15. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. 9 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS never reviewed any of Defendant 10 DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's medical records from Mercy General Hospital or Bruceville 11 Terrace. Despite the fact that said Defendants never spoke to any health care providers 12 at either facility, Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, 13 INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS recklessly, willfully, and with a 14 conscious disregard for the safety of others, advised Defendants DAPHNE 15 MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN to ignore a physician's exercise 16 of professional judgment and order deeming Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF 17 medically eligible for discharge and to refuse all safe discharge options offered to 18 Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF by DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL 19 HOSPITAL and DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF 20 SACRAMENTO, a California Nonprofit Corporation.

24 Ubaldi & McPherson 25 LLP 26

21

22

23

16. At all times herein mentioned the actions of defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF as a patient who does not currently require acute hospitalization and refusal to participate in the discharge planning process constitute within Civil Code section 3427, et seq. a commercial blockade of MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL, constitute a disruption of the normal functioning of plaintiff's hospital, and constitute a blockade by refusing exit by proper, safe discharge placements offered to her. At all

- 8-

1 times herein mentioned the actions of defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH, THE LIGHT 2 FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, DAPHNE 3 MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN in recklessly and willfully refusing 4 discharge from a general acute care hospital when authorized by a physician's order, in 5 recklessly and willfully advising discharge refusal and failing to participate in the 6 discharge and care coordination process deprived the community of a general acute care 7 hospital bed. All of defendants' said actions therefore constitute a commercial blockade 8 within the meaning of Civil Code section 3427, et seq. Defendants CAROL COSTA 9 SMITH, THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE 10 SOLUTIONS, DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN, and 11 each of them, orchestrated a plan with each of the other defendants concerning the 12 refusal of Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's discharge and safe placement for the 13 purpose of circumventing Medi-Cal regulations regarding placement through the ALWP. 14 Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH, THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT 15 SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS, DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and 16 PETRA COFFIN were therefore acting in concert with each of the other defendants within 17 the meaning of Civil Code section 3427.2.

18 17. By virtue of defendant's actions, all while legally eligible for discharge, have
and continue to cause financial harm to plaintiff, inasmuch as defendant's insurance
denies payment and MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL has no lawful recourse for
compensation for services provided to defendant.

18. The hospital is prevented from allowing persons with actual acute
hospitalization needs from using that bed, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic.
Said damages are continuing, all in amounts according to proof at the time of trial.

25 19. This court has current and ongoing jurisdiction to protect and safeguard and
26 prevent harassment to hospital staff, disruption of lawful hospital activities, and is

- 9-

authorized under Civil Code 3427.3 to issue provisional and protective order remedies
 prior to trial on the merits. Plaintiff will request the issuance of provisional protective
 orders to protect the health, safety of hospital staff and to cease what amounts to a
 disruption of lawful hospital operations and a commercial blockade.

5 20. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH, and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. 6 dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS committed malice, fraud, and/or 7 oppression as defined by Civil Code section 3294, and Plaintiffs should recover, in 8 addition to actual damages, damages to make an example of and to punish said 9 Defendants. During the height of the COVID-19 global pandemic and having specific 10 knowledge about the functioning of a general acute care hospital, and knowing that health 11 care providers had determined Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF was eligible for 12 discharge, said Defendants' despicable conduct in advising Defendants DAPHNE 13 MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN to refuse all safe discharge 14 option and refuse a 90-day placement in a board and care facility was carried out with a 15 willful and conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of others, including but not limited to, Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF and the community that Plaintiffs' 16 17 facilities serve. When Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR 18 SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS advised Defendants 19 DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN to lie to Hospital staff 20 about Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF'S physical condition, Defendant CAROL 21 COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 22 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS intentionally misrepresented or concealed a material fact 23 known to them, and did so with the intention of thereby depriving Plaintiffs of property or 24 legal rights and/or preventing Plaintiffs from providing care and treatment to the 25 community that Plaintiffs' facilities serve. Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE 26 LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS's conduct

- 10-

described herein also amounts to oppression in that their despicable conduct in advising 1 2 Defendants DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF, TERRA KHAN, and PETRA COFFIN to refuse 3 all safe discharge option and refuse a 90-day placement in a board and care facility 4 subjected Plaintiffs to the cruel and unjust hardship of not being able to provide general 5 acute care hospital services to the community that Plaintiffs' facilities serve, during the 6 height of the COVID-19 global pandemic. Starting on approximately March 15, 2021, 7 when Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF was eligible for discharge, Plaintiffs were 8 unable to provide services to members of the community because Defendant DAPHNE 9 MUEHLENDORF held over in a hospital bed for which she had no medical need for, 10 based entirely on the advisement of Defendants CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT 11 FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE INSURANCE SOLUTIONS. Defendants 12 CAROL COSTA SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE 13 INSURANCE SOLUTIONS's despicable conduct caused cruel and unjust hardship to the 14 community that Plaintiffs' facilities serve, and also caused cruel and unjust hardship to 15 Plaintiffs because Medi-Cal stopped paying for Defendant DAPHNE MUEHLENDORF's 16 hospitalization on approximately March 15, 2021.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL
and DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO
pray for relief, judgment, orders as follows:

20 1. Defendants' actions constitute a commercial blockade as defined under
21 Civil Code 3427, et seq.;

22 2. Provisional protective orders to and including enjoining defendant from
23 refusing to participate in discharge planning process, and disruption of the normal
24 functioning of plaintiff hospital;

25 3. Provisional remedies including an order for defendant's immediate
26 discharge at the next available discharge placement facility;

- 11-

First Amended Complaint; Action No. 32-2021-00298783

	1	4. Monetary damages for defendant's commercial blockade, including a	all
	2	species of monetary damages authorized by Civil Code 3427.2, according to proof;	
	3	5. Punitive damages pursuant to Civil Code section 3294 against Defendants	ts
	4	CAROL COST SMITH and THE LIGHT FOR SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCE	ε
	5	INSURANCE SOLUTIONS;	
	6	6. For costs of suit herein;	
	7	7. For attorney's fees authorized by law;	
	8	8. For such other and further relief as may be proper.	
	9		
	10	Dated: May 31, 2023 UBALDI & McPHERSON LLP	
	11		
	12	By Jamie A. Pearson, Attorneys for Plaintiffs	fs
	13	DIGNITY HEALTH dba MERCY GENERAL HOSPITAL and DIGNITY COMMUNITY CARE	٩L
	14	dba METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO	
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
Ubaldi &	24		
McPherson LLP	25		
	26		
		- 12- First Amended Complaint; Action No. 32-2021-00298783	

	1	<u>Dignity Health, et al. v Daphne Muehlendorf, et al.</u> Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 34-2021-00298783			
	2				
	3	PROOF OF SERVICE			
	4 5	I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested in the within entitled cause. I am an employee of Ubaldi & McPherson LLP, and my business address is 555 University Avenue, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95825.			
	6	On this date, I served the following document:			
	7	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INTERFERENCE WITH ACCESS TO			
	8	HEALTHCARE [Civil Code 3427, et seq.]; REQUEST FOR CIVIL DAMAGES AND PROVISIONAL REMEDIES			
	9	by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon			
	10	fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail at Sacramento, California addressed as set forth below.			
	11	XX by e-mailing the document(s) to the persons at the e-mail address(es) shown			
	12	herein, due to the declared National Emergency because of the COVID-19 pandemic. No electronic message or other indication that the transmission was			
	13	unsuccessful was received within a reasonable time after the transmission.			
	14	AttorneysforDefendantsDAPHNEAttorneyforDefendantsCAROLMUEHLENDORF,TERRAKHANandCOSTA-SMITHandTHELIGHTFOR			
	15	PETRA COFFIN:SENIORS, INC. dba LIGHT SOURCEUlric N. Duverney, Esq.INSURANCE SOLUTIONS:			
	16	3838 Watt Ave., Suite E-510 Sacramento, CA 95821 Law Office of William C. Seiffert			
	17	Phone: (916) 993-6766 P.O. Box 3231 ulric@duverneylaw.com Citrus Heights, CA 95611			
	18	Phone: (916) 729-6249 wseiffert@att.net			
	19	I am familiar with the business practice of UBALDI & McPHERSON LLP with			
	20	regard to collection and processing of documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. The documents described above were sealed and placed for collection			
	21	and mailing on the date stated below. Pursuant to said business practices, documents were deposited with the United States Postal Service in Sacramento, California, that			
		same day in the ordinary course of business. I certify by the act of filing or service that the original document was produced on paper purchased as recycled.			
	23	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this			
	24	declaration was executed at Sacramento, California on May 31, 2023.			
Ubaldi & McPherson	25	Laura J. Smith			
LLP	26				
		- 13- First Amended Complaint; Action No. 32-2021-00298783			